UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,901	01/11/2008	Thomas Scherer	WUE-57	5039
	7590 05/07/200 ON & EVANS, LLP	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW 441 VINE STR	TOWER		TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/584,901	SCHERER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William E. Tapolcai	3744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuarv 2009.				
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<i>′</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24-29,31,32 and 34-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24-29,31,32 and 34-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
/ —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Infori	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) te of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22, 24-27, 29, 31, 32, 34-40, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,513,500 to Fischer et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,189,929 to Russell. Fischer et al discloses the claimed invention of a cooling system for an aircraft including a refrigerating installation 4, a refrigeration consumer 8A and 8B, a refrigeration transport system 5-7, 10-12 connecting the refrigerating installation and the refrigeration consumer. However, Fischer et al does not disclose the refrigeration installation as comprising two refrigeration machines operating independently of each other and in parallel. Russell teaches in column 1, lines 6-11 that it is notoriously old to have air conditioning in which duplicate refrigeration systems operate in parallel. Thus, it would be obvious to provide Fischer et al with two duplicate refrigeration systems that operate in parallel, in view of Russell, to yield the predictable result of providing a backup system in case one system fails. The recitation in claim 34 of the refrigeration machines being controlled in a decentralized manner by an automatic and time-dependent activation is considered to be a mere functional recitation that is not supported by positively recited structure or a means-plus-function clause. The recitation in claim 36 of the refrigerating agent flowing through both a switched-on and a switched-off refrigeration machine is also considered to be a mere functional statement and not a positively recited structure or a means-plus-function clause. The number of

Art Unit: 3744

refrigerating machines, the type of refrigerating machine used, the type of sensed refrigerating parameters used, the operation of the refrigerating machines, the hot gas bypass valve, the shut-off valve, and the operation of the pump are all considered to be matters of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. They are all considered to be well known provisions of an ordinary refrigeration system.

- 3. Claims 28 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer et al in view of Russell as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,216,215 to Schuett. Fischer et al as modified above by Russell discloses the claimed invention except for the refrigerant store and the amount of refrigerant being altered. Schuett teaches a refrigeration system comprising a store 12a for the secondary refrigerant. Schuett further teaches a valve for varying the amount of refrigerant flowing through the spaces being cooled. Thus, it would be obvious to modify Fischer et al so that the refrigeration system comprises a store for the secondary coolant, and also alters the amount of coolant flowing through the spaces to be cooled, in view of Schuett, to yield the predictable result of providing the necessary amount of coolant needed to perform the cooling functions required.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed January 21, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks regarding the two refrigerating machines operating in parallel and independently of each other are believed to be answered by the newly cited patent to Russell.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3744

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William E. Tapolcai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

wet May 6, 2009